UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/810,471	03/29/2004	Chellappa Balan	132749	9761
	7590 09/21/200 ECTRIC COMPANY	EXAMINER		
GLOBAL RESI	EARCH KET RM. BLDG. K1-	NGUYEN, ANDREW H		
NISKAYUNA,	-	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3741	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/21/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ldocket@crd.ge.com rosssr@crd.ge.com parkskl@crd.ge.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/810,471	BALAN ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	ANDREW NGUYEN	3741				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED <u>10 August 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AI	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apper for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Comperiods:	the same day as filing a Notice of A replies: (1) an amendment, affidavited al (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request			
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 ension and the corresponding amount on the hortened statutory period for reply origing.	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as			
2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on . A brief in comp	liance with 27 CEP 41 27 must be t	iilad within two month	of the data of			
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the				
AMENDMENTS						
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, by (a) They raise new issues that would require further continuous to the continuous forms of the continuous forms.	nsideration and/or search (see NOT	will <u>not</u> be entered be E below);	cause			
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	• •		:			
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially rec	lucing or simplifying ti	ie issues for			
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.				
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			,			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) I how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of			
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-7 and 9-22</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	ıl and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a			
10. 🔲 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.			
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER						
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.						
12.	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)					
/Michael Cuff/	/Andrew Nguyen/					
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3741	Examiner, Art Unit 3741					

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendments to paragraphs 41 and 42 contain new matter. The combustor and reformer in fluid isolation was not in the original disclosure.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that Figures 6 and 7 "clearly show that there is no transfer of any material across the wall between the combustor and the reformer". However, the Figures are cross sections - they are not complete representations of the structure. There may be fluid communication elsewhere. Furthermore, the wall between the combustor and reformer is not the only way to provide fluid communication. If the fluid from the reformer eventually makes its way to the combustor, they are not in fluid isolation. As paragraph 36 of Applicant's disclosure states, some of the hydrogen from the reformer may be used in the combustor. Applicant has failed to disclose other embodiments of the invention where the reformer is fluidly isolated from the combustor.